

Team: Group 2

Feedback on group management

Plan

A well thought through plan indicating a clear idea of what needs to be done. A clear system of project oversight is planned. A description of planned activities is included.
4/5

Minutes

Minutes are produced in a good quality, consistent style. For the most part, actions are assigned and reported against. 4/5

Group management overall mark: 8/10 (80%)

Feedback on reports

Client report

This report is plainly written in clear language with good use of appropriate diagrams. The structure of the report is excellent. The report discusses the limiting assumptions. The mathematical theory is not rigorously applied but instead the report provides convincing arguments for the solutions given. Shading double-guarded areas shows an appreciation of the real world scenario and anticipation of the client's needs.

Use of only one area of the theory is perhaps limiting but does allow consistency of explanation for the non-technical audience.

The enumeration of heading and diagrams is good. Page numbering is included. You have used Numeric not Harvard referencing.

The design of the report is excellent.

Mark: 18/25 (72%)

Mathematical report

The report refers to and references the results of proven theorems without going through the proofs, which is appropriate to the brief. The content of this report indicates a thorough understanding of the different aspects of these problems and a good coverage of the available literature. The theory is discussed with a style which is appropriate to the technical audience yet remains engaging to read.

The report discusses limiting assumptions and identifies one main assumption which is removed to explore an extension to the original problem. A conclusion discusses the practical application of the methods in solving real scenarios.

The enumeration of heading and diagrams is good. Page numbering is included. You have used Numeric not Harvard referencing.

(N.B. Have you read all of the sources, e.g. the papers by Chvátal and Fisk, or have you read their results elsewhere? If the latter then the source you have read should be referenced)

The design of the report is excellent.

Mark: 18/25 (72%)

Reports overall mark

Mark: 36/50 (72%)

Feedback on presentation

Length: 15 mins

Visual presentation: Good use of diagrams. Black on blue not very clear on projected screen. (4/6)

Organisation: Overall time good. Time evenly split between team members. Each speaker introduces next which gives coherence. (8/8)

Content: Moving guards. Talk begins by discussing limitations of original theories, proposes extension and generalises. Discussion of limitations and conclusions. (2/4)

Speakers' presenting styles: (10/12)

Speaker 1: (5 mins) Sounds fairly confident and clear but looking at slides/notes rather than audience. A little bit quiet.

Speaker 2: (5 mins) Very confident. Banter with audience. Entertaining.

Speaker 3: (5 mins) Confident. Could look up more. Seems to know topic. Chatty style is very engaging.

Overall, a good presentation. All speakers were excellent though confidence with the material is crucial to a good delivery.

Presentation overall mark: 24/30 80%

Group 2 task 3 overall mark: 68/90 (76%)